Villum Experiment – Review sheet

Please read the programme call and the Terms of Reference carefully before conducting the review. After the review process the foundation ranks the proposals according to the panel's evaluations. The panel members are subsequently asked to provide a short statement for each of the top-ranked proposals.

A: Originality of the idea

The idea shows unorthodox thinking and introduces a unique approach and, if applicable, a novel hypothesis, a non-standard methodology, etc.

unsuitable	very good	excellent	outstanding

B: Potential impact of the idea

The idea holds the potential to answer a long-standing question in science, bring about a transformative understanding of a central topic, pave the way for a new research area or new method/technology or the like.

unsuitable	very good	excellent	outstanding

C: Appropriateness of the proposed research for this type of programme

The idea is unlikely to be fit for conventional research project programmes.

unsuitable	very good	excellent	outstanding

D: Overall score

unsuitable	very good	excellent	outstanding

Short comment (optional):

E: I cast my decisive vote on this application

This is an optional recommendation which can at most be given to one single application per reviewer. This indicates that the application is truly extraordinary and should receive the highest priority. The reviewer must use this option with great care as VILLUM FONDEN strives to grant applications which have received decisive votes (along with the applications which have the best reviews in general).

Comment (required if box is ticked):

F: It is possible to identify the applicant or the host institution from the proposal?

No	yes

Short comment (optional):

G: How confident do you feel about your assessment?

This will be used as a tiebreaker in the final ranking of the proposals.

not confident	confident	very confident